


PEER-REVIEWED ARTICLES

SHEARWAVE[™] ELASTOGRAPHY FOR BREAST IMAGING



1. Acharya UR, Ng WL, Rahmat K, et al. Data mining framework for breast lesion classification in shear wave ultrasound: A hybrid feature paradigm. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*. 2016 Nov. doi:10.1016/j.bspc.2016.11.004
2. Acharya UR, Ng WL, Rahmat K, et al. Shear wave elastography for characterization of breast lesions: Shearlet transform and local binary pattern histogram techniques. *Comput Biol Med*. 2017 Dec 1;91:13-20.
3. Ahmeidat H, Purdie C, Jordan L, Fleming D, McCullough J, Evans A. Non-histopathological parameters associated with upgrade of breast tumours yielding a core biopsy report of histological grade 2 ductal no special type to grade 3 on excision. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2018 Nov;44(11):1720-1724.
4. Arslan S, Altunkeser A, Durmaz MS, Eryilmaz MA, Oncu F, Unlu Y. Diagnostic utility of two-dimensional shear wave elastography to differentiate benign and malignant breast lesions. *Annals of Med Res*. 2019;26(2):156-160.
5. Athanasiou A, Latorre-Ossa H, Criton A, et al. Feasibility of Imaging and Treatment Monitoring of Breast Lesions with Three-Dimensional Shear Wave Elastography. *Ultraschall Med*. 2017 Jan;38(1):51-59.
6. Athanasiou A, Tardivon A, Tanter M, et al. Breast lesions: Quantitative Elastography with Supersonic Shear Imaging—Preliminary Results. *Radiology*. 2010 Jul;256(1):297-303.
7. Au FW, Ghai S, Lu FI, Lu H. Clinical Value of Shear Wave Elastography Added to Targeted Ultrasound (Second-Look Ultrasound) in the Evaluation of Breast Lesions Suspicious of Malignancy Detected on Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2019 Sep;38(9):2395-2406.
8. Au FW, Ghai S, Moshonov H, et al. Diagnostic Performance of Quantitative Shear Wave Elastography in the Evaluation of Solid Breast Masses: Determination of the Most Discriminatory Parameter. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2014 Sep;203(3):W328-W336.
9. Au FW, Ghai S, Lu FI, Moshonov H, Crystal P. Quantitative Shear Wave Elastography: Correlation with Prognostic Histologic Features and Immunohistochemical Biomarkers of Breast Cancer. *Acad Radiol*. 2015 Mar;22(3):269-277.
10. Awad FM. Role of supersonic shear wave imaging quantitative elastography (SSI) in differentiating benign and malignant solid breast masses. *Egyptian J Radiol Nuclear Med*. 2013 Jan;44:681-685.
11. Bae JS, Chang JM, Lee SH, Shin SU, Moon WK. Prediction of invasive breast cancer using shear-wave elastography in patients with biopsy-confirmed ductal carcinoma in situ. *Eur Radiol*. 2017 Jan;27(1):7-15.
12. Bae SJ, Park JT, Park AY, et al. Ex Vivo Shear-Wave Elastography of Axillary Lymph Nodes to Predict Nodal Metastasis in Patients with Primary Breast Cancer. *J Breast Cancer*. 2018 Jun;21(2):190-196.

13. Balleyguier C, Canale S, Hassen WB, et al. Breast elasticity: principles, technique, results: an update and overview of commercially available software. *Eur J Radiol*. 2013 Mar;82(3):427-434.
14. Balleyguier C, Canale S, Hassen WB, et al. Breast elasticity: principles, technique, results: an update and overview of commercially available software [letter to the editors]. *Eur J Radiol*. 2013 Mar;82(3):427-434.
15. Balu-Maestro C, Chapellier C, Ettore F, et al. L'élastographie des lésions du sein par onde de cisaillement (Shear wave elastography for breast lesion). *Imagerie de la Femme*. 2011 Aug;21(3):105-110.
16. Barr RG. Future of breast elastography. *Ultrasonography*. 2019 Apr;38(2):92-105.
17. Barr RG. Sonographic Breast Elastography. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2012;31:773-783.
18. Barr RG. Shear Wave Imaging of the Breast. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2012;31:347-350
19. Barr RG, De Silvestri A, Scotti V. Diagnostic Performance and Accuracy of the 3 Interpreting Methods of Breast Strain Elastography: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2019 Jun;38(6):1397-1404.
20. Barr RG, Zhang Z. Effects of Precompression on Elasticity Imaging of the Breast: Development of a Clinically Useful Semiquantitative Method of Precompression Assessment. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2012 Jun;31(6):895-902.
21. Berg WA, Cosgrove DO, Doré CJ, et al. Shear-wave Elastography Improves the Specificity of Breast US: The BE1 Multinational Study of 939 Masses. *Radiology*. 2012 Feb;262(2):435-449.
22. Berg WA, Cosgrove DO, Doré CJ, et al. Shear-wave Elastography Improves the Specificity of Breast US: The BE1 Multinational Study of 939 Masses. *Radiology*. 2012 Feb;262(2)(suppl appendix E1):435-449. doi:10.1148/radiol.11110640
23. Berg WA, Mendelson EB, Cosgrove DO, et al. Quantitative Maximum Shear-Wave Stiffness of Breast Masses as a Predictor of Histopathologic Severity. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2015 Aug;205(2):448-455.
24. Bernal M, Chamming's F, Couade M, Bercoff J, Tanter M, Gennisson JL. In Vivo Quantification of the Nonlinear Shear Modulus in Breast Lesions: Feasibility Study. *IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control*. 2016 Jan;63(1):101-109.
25. Boisserie-Lacroix M1, Mac Grogan G, Debled M, et al. Radiological features of triple-negative breast cancers (73 cases). *Diagn Interv Imaging*. 2012 Mar;93(3):183-190.
26. Brkljačić B, Divjak E, Tomasović-Lončarić Č, Tešić V, Ivanac G. Shear-wave sonoelastographic features of invasive lobular breast cancers. *Croat Med J*. 2016 Feb;57(1):42-50.
27. Bullier B, MacGrogan G, Bonnefoi H, et al. Imaging features of sporadic breast cancer in women under 40 years old: 97 cases. *Eur Radiol*. 2013 Dec;23(12):3237-3245.

28. Cha YJ, Youk JH, Kim BG, Jung WH, Cho NH. Lymphangiogenesis in Breast Cancer Correlates with Matrix Stiffness on Shear-Wave Elastography. *Yonsei Med J.* 2016 May;57(3):599-605.
29. Chamming's F, Le-Frère-Belda MA, Latorre-Ossa H, et al. Supersonic shear wave elastography of response to anti-cancer therapy in a xenograft tumor model. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2016 Apr;42(4):924-930.
30. Chamming's F, Latorre-Ossa H, Le Frère-Belda MA, et al. Shear wave elastography of tumour growth in a human breast cancer model with pathological correlation. *Eur Radiol.* 2013 Aug;23(8):2079-2086.
31. Chamming's F, Mesurolle B, Antonescu R, et al. Value of Shear Wave Elastography for the Differentiation of Benign and Malignant Microcalcifications of the Breast. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2019 Aug;213(2):W85-W92.
32. Chang JM, Moon WK, Cho N, et al. Clinical application of shear wave elastography (SWE) in the diagnosis of benign and malignant breast diseases. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2011 Aug;129(1):89-97.
33. Chang JM, Park IA, Lee SH, et al. Stiffness of tumours measured by shear-wave elastography correlated with subtypes of breast cancer. *Eur Radiol.* 2013 Sep;23(9):2450-2458.
34. Chang JM, Won J-K, Lee K-B, Park IA, Yi A, Moon WK. Comparison of Shear-Wave and Strain Ultrasound Elastography in the Differentiation of Benign and Malignant Breast Lesions. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2013 Aug;201(2):W347-W356.
35. Chen YL, Chang C, Zeng W, Wang F, Chen JJ, Qu N. 3-Dimensional shear wave elastography of breast lesions: Added value of color patterns with emphasis on crater sign of coronal plane. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2016 Sep;95(39):e4877. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000004877
36. Chen YL, Gao Y, Chang C, Wang F, Zeng W, Chen JJ. Ultrasound shear wave elastography of breast lesions: correlation of anisotropy with clinical and histopathological findings. *Cancer Imaging.* 2018 Apr 5;18(1):1-11.
37. Chen L, He J, Liu G, et al. Diagnostic performances of shear-wave elastography for identification of malignant breast lesions: a meta-analysis. *Jpn J Radiol.* 2014 Oct;32(10):592-599.
38. Cho DH, Park CS, Kim SH, et al. Correlation of the Strain Elastography-Derived Elasticity Scores with Prognostic Histologic Features, Immunohistochemical Markers, and Molecular Subtypes of Invasive Ductal Carcinoma. *J Korean Soc Radiol.* 2019 Jul;80(4):717-727.
39. Choi JS, Han B-K, Ko EY, Ko ES, Shin JH, Kim GR. Additional diagnostic value of shear-wave elastography and color Doppler US for evaluation of breast non-mass lesions detected at B-mode US. *Eur Radiol.* 2016 Oct;26(10):3542-3549.
40. Choi JS, Han BK, Ko ES, et al. Effect of a Deep Learning Framework-Based Computer-Aided Diagnosis System on the Diagnostic Performance of Radiologists in Differentiating between Malignant and Benign Masses on Breast Ultrasonography. *Korean J Radiol.* 2019 May;20(5):749-758.

41. Choi HJ, Ko KH, Jung HK. Shear Wave Elastography for Surgically Verified Breast Papillary Lesions: Is It Effective for Differentiation Between Benign and Malignant Lesions? *J Ultrasound Med.* 2017 Oct;36(10):2007-2014.
42. Choi EJ, Lee EH, Kim YM, et al, on the behalf of the Alliance for Breast Cancer Screening in Korea (ABCS-K). Interobserver agreement in breast ultrasound categorization in the Mammography and Ultrasonography Study for Breast Cancer Screening Effectiveness (MUST-BE) trial: results of a preliminary study. *Ultrasonography.* 2019 Apr;38(2):172-180.
43. Cindil E, Gültekin S. Meme Elastografisi. *Türk Radyoloji Derneği. Trd Sem* 2019;7:50-62.
44. Cong R, Li J, Wang X. Comparing performance of combinations of shear wave elastography and B-mode ultrasound in diagnosing breast masses: is it influenced by mass size? *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2017 Oct;43(10):2133-2143.
45. Cosgrove DO, Berg WA, Doré CJ, et al. Shear wave elastography for breast masses is highly reproducible. *Eur Radiol.* 2012 May;22(5):1023-1032.
46. Crnogorac M, Ivanac G, Tomasović-Lončarić Č, Žic R, Kelava T, Brkljačić B. Sonoelastographic features of high-risk breast lesions and ductal carcinoma in situ: a pilot study. *Acta Clin Croat.* 2019 Mar;58(1):13-22.
47. Crombé A, Hurtevent-Labrot G, Asad-Syed M, et al. Shear-wave elastography quantitative assessment of the male breast: added value to distinguish benign and malignant palpable masses. *Br J Radiol.* 2018 Feb;91(1082):20170676. doi:10.1259/bjr.20170676
48. Dobruch-Sobczak K, Nowicki A. Role of shear wave sonoelastography in differentiation between focal breast lesions. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2015 Feb;41(2):366-374.
49. Dong F, Wu H, Zhang L, et al. Diagnostic Performance of Multimodal Sound Touch Elastography for Differentiating Benign and Malignant Breast Masses. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2019 Aug;38(8):2181-2190.
50. Dominković DM, Ivanac G, Kelava T, Brkljačić B. Elastographic features of triple negative breast cancers. *Eur Radiol.* 2016 Apr;26(4):1090-1097.
51. Dória MT, Jales RM, Conz L, Derchain SFM, Sarian LOZ. Diagnostic accuracy of shear wave elastography – Virtual touch™ imaging quantification in the evaluation of breast masses: Impact on ultrasonography's specificity and its ultimate clinical benefit. *Eur J Radiol.* 2019 Apr;113:74-80.
52. Evans A, Purdie CA, Jordan L, Macaskill EJ, Flynn J, Vinnicombe S. Stiffness at shear-wave elastography and patient presentation predicts upgrade at surgery following an ultrasound-guided core biopsy diagnosis of ductal carcinoma in situ. *Clin Radiol.* 2016 Nov;71(11):1156-1159.
53. Evans A, Rauchhaus P, Whelehan P, et al. Does shear wave ultrasound independently predict axillary lymph node metastasis in women with invasive breast cancer? *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2014 Jan;143(1):153-157.

54. Evans A, Sim YT, Poureyyron C, et al. Pre-operative stromal stiffness measured by shear wave elastography is independently associated with breast cancer-specific survival. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2018 Sep;171(2):383-389.
55. Evans A, Sim YT, Thomson K, Jordan L, Purdie C, Vinnicombe SJ. Shear wave elastography of breast cancer: Sensitivity according to histological type in a large cohort. *Breast.* 2016 Apr;26:115-158.
56. Evans A, Whelehan P, Thompson A, et al. Identification of pathological complete response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer: comparison of greyscale ultrasound, shear wave elastography, and MRI. *Clin Radiol.* 2018 Oct;73(10):910.e1-910.e6.
57. Evans A, Whelehan P, Thompson A, et al. Prediction of Pathological Complete Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Primary Breast Cancer Comparing Interim Ultrasound, Shear Wave Elastography and MRI. *Ultraschall Med.* 2018 Aug;39(4):422-431.
58. Evans A, Whelehan P, Thomson K, et al. Differentiating benign from malignant solid breast masses: value of shear wave elastography according to lesion stiffness combined with greyscale ultrasound according to BI-RADS classification. *British J Cancer.* 2012 May. doi:10.1038/bjc.2012.253
59. Evans A, Whelehan P, Thomson K, et al. Invasive Breast Cancer: Relationship between Shear-wave Elastographic Findings and Histologic Prognostic Factors. *Radiology.* 2012 Jun;263(3):673-677.
60. Evans A, Whelehan P, Thomson K, et al. Quantitative shear wave ultrasound elastography: initial experience in solid breast masses. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2010;12(6):R104. doi:10.1186/bcr2787.
61. Fang C, Wu T, Zhou Y, Xue J, Yang W. Value of tissue elastography in the prediction of efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. *J BUON.* 2019 Mar-Apr;24(2):555-559.
62. Farrokh A, Maass N, Treu L, Heilmann T, Schäfer FKW. Accuracy of tumor size measurement: comparison of B-mode ultrasound, strain elastography, and 2D and 3D shear wave elastography with histopathological lesion size. June 2018; *Acta Radiol.* 2019 Apr;60(4):451-458.
63. Farrokh A, Treu L, Ohlinger R, Flieger C, Maass N, Schäfer FKW. A Prospective Two Center Study Comparing Breast Cancer Lesion Size Defined by 2D Shear Wave Elastography, B-Mode Ultrasound, and Mammography with the Histopathological Size. *Ultraschall Med.* 2019 Apr;40(2):212-220.
64. Feldmann A, Langlois C, Dewailly M, et al. Shear wave elastography (SWE): an analysis of breast lesion characterization in 83 breast lesions. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2015 Oct;41(10):2594-2604.
65. Fernandes J, Sannachi L, Tran WT, et al. Monitoring Breast Cancer Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Using Ultrasound Strain Elastography. *Transl Oncol.* 2019 Sep;12(9):1177-1184.
66. Fujioka T, Mori M, Kubota K, et al. Simultaneous comparison between strain and shear wave elastography of breast masses for the differentiation of benign and malignant lesions by qualitative and quantitative assessments. *Breast Cancer.* 2019 Nov;26(6):792-798.

67. Ganau S, Andreu FJ, Escribano F, et al. Shear-wave elastography and immunohistochemical profiles in invasive breast cancer: Evaluation of maximum and mean elasticity values. *Eur J Radiol.* 2015 Apr;84(4):617-622.
68. Giannotti E, Vinnicombe S, Thomson K, et al. Shear-wave elastography and greyscale assessment of palpable probably benign masses: is biopsy always required? *Br J Radiol.* 2016 Jun;89(1062):20150865. doi:10.1259/bjr.20150865
69. Gweon HM, Youk JH, Son EJ, Kim J-A. Clinical application of qualitative assessment for breast masses shear wave elastography. *Eur J Radiol.* 2013 Nov;82(11):e680-e685.
70. Gweon HM, Youk JH, Son EJ, Kim JA. Visually assessed colour overlay features in shear-wave elastography for breast masses: quantification and diagnostic performance. *Eur Radiol.* 2013 Mar;23(3):658-63.
71. Han J, Li F, Peng C, et al. Reducing Unnecessary biopsy of breast lesions: preliminary results with combination of strain and shear-wave elastography. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2019 Sep;45(9):2317-2327.
72. Hari S, Paul SB, Vidyasagar R, et al. Breast mass characterization using shear wave elastography and ultrasound. *Diagn Interv Imaging.* 2018 Nov;99(11):699-707.
73. Hefeda MM, Hablus MA. Diagnostic Accuracy of Shear Wave Elastography in Differentiation Between Benign and Malignant Solid Breast Masses Compared with Strain Elastography. *Intl J Med Imaging.* 2019 Aug;7(2):44-56.
74. Hong S, Woo OH, Shin HS, Hwang S-Y, Cho KR, Seo BK. Reproducibility and diagnostic performance of shear wave elastography in evaluating breast solid mass. *Clin Imaging.* 2017 Jul-Aug;44:42-45.
75. Huang L, Ma M, Du Z, Liu Z, Gong X. Quantitative evaluation of tissue stiffness around lesion by sound touch elastography in the diagnosis of benign and malignant breast lesions. *PLoS One.* 2019 Jul 18;14(7):e0219943. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0219943
76. Huang Y, Li F, Han J, et al. Shear wave elastography of breast lesions: quantitative analysis of elastic heterogeneity improves diagnostic performance. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2019 Aug;45(8):1909-1917.
77. Huang Y-S, Takada E, Konno S, Huang C-S, Kuo M-H, Chang R-F. Computer-Aided Tumor Diagnosis in 3-D Breast Elastography. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed.* 2018 Jan;153:201-209.
78. Jing H, Cheng W, Li ZY, et al. Early Evaluation of Relative Changes in Tumor Stiffness by Shear Wave Elastography Predicts the Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Patients With Breast Cancer. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2016 Aug;35(8):1619-1627.
79. Juhan V, Siles P, Colavolpe A. Imagerie de la Femme: Cas clinique en sénologie. Intérêt de l'élastographie pour la caractérisation des lésions de petite taille. *Ref Gynecol Obstet.* 14:1-3.

80. Jung HK, Park AY, Ko KH, Koh J. Comparison of the Diagnostic Performance of Power Doppler Ultrasound and a New Microvascular Doppler Ultrasound Technique (AngioPLUS) for Differentiating Benign and Malignant Breast Masses. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2018 Nov;37(11):2689-2698.
81. Kang HJ, Kim JY, Lee NK, et al. Three-dimensional versus two-dimensional shear-wave elastography: Associations of mean elasticity values with prognostic factors and tumor subtypes of breast cancer. *Clin Imaging.* 2018 Mar-Apr;48:79-85.
82. Kayadibi Y, Kılıç F, Karatas OF, et al. Could the “Stiff Rim Sign” Be an Indicator of Lysyl Oxidase Activity in Breast Cancer? *Iran J Radiol.* 2019 Jun. doi:10.5812/iranjradiol.84157
83. Kılıç F, Kayadibi Y, Kocael P, et al. Changes in the elasticity of fibroadenoma during the menstrual cycle determined by real-time sonoelastography. *Eur J Radiol.* 2015 Jun;84(6):1044-1048.
84. Kılıç F, Ustabaşoğlu FE, Samancı C, et al. Ductal Carcinoma *In Situ* Detected by Shear Wave Elastography within a Fibroadenoma. *J Breast Cancer.* 2014 Jun;17(2):180-183.
85. Kilic F, Velidedeoglu M, Ozturk T, et al. Ex Vivo Assessment of Sentinel Lymph Nodes in Breast Cancer Using Shear Wave Elastography. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2016 Feb;35(2):271-277.
86. Kim GR, Choi JS, Han B-K, Ko EY, Ko ES, Hahn SY. Combination of shear-wave elastography and color Doppler: Feasible method to avoid unnecessary breast excision of fibroepithelial lesions diagnosed by core needle biopsy. *PLoS One.* 2017 May 4;12(5):e0175380. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0175380
87. Kim H, Youk JH, Gweon HM, Kim JA, Son EJ. Diagnostic performance of qualitative shear-wave elastography according to different color map opacities for breast masses. *Eur J Radiol.* 2013 Aug;82(8):e326-e331.
88. Kim HJ, Kim SM, Kim B, et al. Comparison of strain and shear wave elastography for qualitative and quantitative assessment of breast masses in the same population. *Sci Rep.* 2018 Apr 18;8(1):6197. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-24377-0
89. Kim MY, Choi N, Yang JH, Yoo YB, Park KS. False positive or negative results of shear-wave elastography in differentiating benign from malignant breast masses: analysis of clinical and ultrasonographic characteristics. *Acta Radiol.* 2015 Oct;56(10):1155-1162.
90. Kim S, Choi S, Choi Y, Kook SH, Park HJ, Chung EC. Diagnostic Performance of Shear Wave Elastography of the Breast According to Scanning Orientation. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2014 Oct;33(10):1797-1804.
91. Kim S, Lee HJ, Ko KH, Park AY, Koh J, Jung HK. New Doppler imaging technique for assessing angiogenesis in breast tumors: correlation with immunohistochemically analyzed microvessels density. *Acta Radiol.* 2018 Dec;59(12):1414-1421.
92. Kim SJ, Ko KH, Jung HK, Kim H. Shear Wave Elastography: Is It a Valuable Additive Method to Conventional Ultrasound for the Diagnosis of Small (≤ 2 cm) Breast Cancer? *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2015 Oct;94(42):e1540. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000001540

93. Kim Y, Ko KH, Jung HK, Lee HJ. Shear-Wave Elastography Features of Primary Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma of the Breast: A Case Report and Literature Review. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2016 Dec;10(12):TD01-TD03.
94. Klotz T, Boussion V, Kwiatkowski F, et al. Shear wave elastography contribution in ultrasound diagnosis management of breast lesions. *Diagn Interv Imaging.* 2014 Sep;95(9):813-824.
95. Ko KH, Jung HK, Kim SJ, Kim H, Yoon JH. Potential role of shear-wave ultrasound elastography for the differential diagnosis of breast non-mass lesions: preliminary report. *Eur Radiol.* 2014 Feb;24(2):305-11.
96. Kumar A, Tanwar NS. Evaluation of breast lump using elastography, histopathology and its diagnostic accuracy. *Int Surg J.* 2019 Feb;6(2):574-580.
97. Lee EJ, Jung HK, Ko KH, Lee JT, Yoon JH. Diagnostic performances of shear wave elastography: which parameter to use in differential diagnosis of solid breast masses? *Eur Radiol.* 2013 Jul;23(7):1803-11.
98. Lee SH, Chang JM, Cho N, et al, on behalf of the Korean Breast Elastography Study Group. Practice guideline for the performance of breast ultrasound elastography. *Ultrasonography.* 2014 Jan;33(1):3-10.
99. Lee SH, Chang JM, Han W, et al. Shear-Wave Elastography for the Detection of Residual Breast Cancer After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2015 Dec;(22)(suppl 3):S376-S384. doi:10.1245/s10434-015-4828-1
100. Lee SH, Chang JM, Kim WH, et al. Added Value of Shear-Wave Elastography for Evaluation of Breast Masses Detected with Screening US Imaging. *Radiology.* 2014 Oct;273(1):61-69.
101. Lee SH, Chang JM, Kim WH, et al. Differentiation of benign from malignant solid breast masses: comparison of two-dimensional and three-dimensional shear-wave elastography. *Eur Radiol.* 2013 Apr;23(4):1015-1026.
102. Lee SH, Cho N, Chang JM, et al. Two-View versus Single-View Shear-Wave Elastography: Comparison of Observer Performance in Differentiating Benign from Malignant Breast Masses. *Radiology.* 2013 Jul;270(2). doi:10.1148/radiol.13130561
103. Lee YJ, Kim SH, Kang BJ, Kim YJ. Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound for Early Prediction of Response of Breast Cancer to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy. *Ultraschall Med.* 2019 Apr;40(2):194-204.
104. Lee SH, Moon WK, Cho N, et al. Shear-Wave Elastographic Features of Breast Cancers: Comparison With Mechanical Elasticity and Histopathologic Characteristics. *Invest Radiol.* 2014 Mar;49(3):147-155.
105. Li G, Li DW, Fang YX, et al. Performance of shear wave elastography for differentiation of benign and malignant solid breast masses. *PLoS One.* 2013 Oct 18;8(10):e76322. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0076322.

106. Li X, Wang JN, Fan ZY, et al. Determination of the Elasticity of Breast Tissue during the Menstrual Cycle Using Real-Time Shear Wave Elastography. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. 2015 Dec;41(12):3140-7.
107. Liang M, Wu J-Y, Ou B, et al. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of shear-wave elastography in small (≤ 20 mm) breast masses combined with BI-RADS category. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2018;11(12):13,338-13,346.
108. Lin X, Chang C, Wu C, et al. Confirmed value of shear wave elastography for ultrasound characterization of breast masses using a conservative approach in Chinese women: a large-size prospective multicenter trial. *Cancer Manag Res*. 2018 Oct;10:4447-4458.
109. Liu H, Wan J, Xu G, et al. Conventional US and 2-D shear wave elastography of virtual touch tissue imaging quantification: correlation with immunohistochemical subtypes of breast cancer. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. 2019 Oct;45(10):2612-2622.
110. Liu G, Zhang M-K, He Y, Liu Y, Li X-R, Wang Z-L. BI-RADS 4 breast lesions: could multi-mode ultrasound be helpful for their diagnosis? *Gland Surg*. 2019 Jun;8(3):258-270.
111. Liu B, Zheng Y, Huang G, et al. Breast lesions: quantitative diagnosis using ultrasound shear wave elastography—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. 2016 Apr;42(4):835-847.
112. Lo CM, Lai YC, Chou YH, Chang RF. quantitative breast lesion classification based on multichannel distributions in shear-wave imaging. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed*. 2015 Dec;122(3):354-361.
113. Luo J, Cao Y, Nian W, et al. Benefit of Shear-wave Elastography in the differential diagnosis of breast lesion: a diagnostic meta-analysis. *Med Ultrason*. 2018 Feb 4;1(1):43-49.
114. Luo WQ, Huang QX, Huang XW, Hu HT, Zeng FQ, Wang W5. Predicting Breast Cancer in Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) Ultrasound Category 4 or 5 Lesions: A Nomogram Combining Radiomics and BI-RADS. *Sci Rep*. 2019 Aug 15;9(1):11921. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-48488-4
115. Ma Y, Zhang S, Li J, Li J, Kang Y, Ren W. Comparison of strain and shear-wave ultrasonic elastography in predicting the pathological response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancers. *Eur Radiol*. 2017 Jun;27(6):2282-2291.
116. Ma Y, Zhang S, Zang L, et al. Combination of shear wave elastography and Ki-67 index as a novel predictive modality for the pathological response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with invasive breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2016 Dec;69:86-101.
117. Mesurole B, El Khoury M, Chamings F, Zhang M, Sun S. Breast sonoelastography: Now and in the future. *Diagn Interv Imaging*. 2019 Oct;100(10):567-577.
118. Moon WK, Huang YS, Lee YW, et al. Computer-aided tumor diagnosis using shear wave breast elastography. *Ultrasonics*. 2017 Jul;78:125-133.

119. Moon JH, Hwang JY, Park JS, Koh SH, Park SY. Impact of region of interest (ROI) size on the diagnostic performance of shear wave elastography in differentiating solid breast lesions. *Acta Radiol.* 2018 Jun;59(6):657-663.
120. Moon HJ, Kim E-K, Kim MJ, Yoon JH, Park VY. Comparison of clinical and pathologic characters of ductal carcinoma in situ detected on mammography versus ultrasound only in asymptomatic patients. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2019 Jan;45(1):68-77.
121. Mullen R, Thompson JM, Moussa O, Vinnicombe S, Evans A. Shear-wave elastography contributes to accurate tumour size estimation when assessing small breast cancers. *Clin Radiol.* 2014 Dec;69(12):1259-1263.
122. Nabavizadeh A, Bayat M, Kumar V, et al. Viscoelastic biomarker for differentiation of benign and malignant breast lesion in ultra-low frequency range. *Sci Rep.* 2019 Apr 5;9(1):5737. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-41885-9
123. Ng WL, Rahmat K, Fadzli F, et al. Shearwave Elastography Increases Diagnostic Accuracy in Characterization of Breast Lesions. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2016 Mar;95(12):e3146. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000003146
124. Olgun DÇ, Korkmazer B, Kılıç F, et al. Use of shear wave elastography to differentiate benign and malignant breast lesions. *Diagn Interv Radiol.* 2014 May-Jun;20(3):239-244.
125. Paczkowska K, Rzymiski P, Kubasik M, Opala T. Sonoelastography in the evaluation of capsule formation after breast augmentation – preliminary results from a follow-up study. *Arch Med Sci.* 2016 Aug 1;12(4):793-798.
126. Park AY, Son EJ, Kim JA, Han K, Youk JH. Lesion stiffness measured by shear-wave elastography: Preoperative predictor of the histologic underestimation of US-guided core needle breast biopsy. *Eur J Radiol.* 2015 Dec;84(12):2509-2514.
127. Park HS, Shin HJ, Shin KC, et al. Comparison of peritumoral stromal tissue stiffness obtained by shear wave elastography between benign and malignant breast lesions. *Acta Radiol.* 2018 Oct;59(10):1168-1175.
128. Park J, Woo OH, Shin HS, Cho KR, Seo BK, Kang EY. Diagnostic performance and color overlay pattern in shear wave elastography (SWE) for palpable breast mass. *Eur J Radiol.* 2015 Oct;84(10):1943-1948.
129. Park SY, Choi JS, Han BK, Ko EY, Ko ES. Shear wave elastography in the diagnosis of breast non-mass lesions: factors associated with false negative and false positive results. *Eur Radiol.* 2017 Sep;27(9):3788-3798.
130. Pistolese CA, Tosti D, Citraro D, et al. Probably benign breast nodular lesions (BI-RADS 3): correlation between ultrasound features and histologic findings. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2019 Jan;45(1):78-84.

131. Plecha DM, Pham RM, Klein N, Coffey A, Sattar A, Marshall H. Addition of Shear-Wave Elastography during Second- Look MR Imaging–directed Breast US: Effect on Lesion Detection and Biopsy Targeting. *Radiology*. 2014 Sep;272(3):657-664.
132. Pu H, Zhang XL, Xiang LH, et al. The efficacy of added shear wave elastography (SWE) in breast screening for women with inconsistent mammography and conventional ultrasounds (US). *Clin Hemorheol Microcirc*. 2019;71(1):83-94.
133. Qu X-X, Song Y, Zhang Y-H, Qing H-M. Value of ultrasonic elastography and conventional ultrasonography in the differential diagnosis of non-mass-like breast lesions. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. 2019 Jun;45(6):1358-1366.
134. Ren WW, Li XL, He YP, et al. Two-dimensional shear wave elastography of breast lesions: Comparison of two different systems. *Clin Hemorheol Microcirc*. 2017;66(1):37-46.
135. Rzymiski P, Opala T. Morphological analysis of breast cystic lesions in shear wave elastography. *Wspolczesna Onkol*. 2011;15(4):208-212.
136. Rzymiski P, Kubasik M, Gaca M, Opala T. Is the shear wave sonographic elastography correlated with pain after breast augmentation with silicone implants an indication of inflammatory activity? A preliminary report. *Wideochir Inne Tech Maloinwazyjne*. 2011 Dec;6(4):217-25.
137. Rzymiski P, Kubasik M, Opala T. Use of shear wave sonoelastography in capsular contracture before and after secondary surgery e Report of two cases. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*. 2011 Dec;64(12):e309-e312.
138. Rzymiski P, Skórzewska A, Skibińska-Zielińska M, Opala T. Factors influencing breast elasticity measured by the ultrasound Shear Wave elastography – preliminary results. *Arch Med Sci*. 2011 Feb;7(1):127-133.
139. Rzymiski P, Wysocki PJ, Kycler W, Opala T. Correlation between insulin resistance and breast elasticity heterogeneity measured by shear wave elastography in premenopausal women – a pilot study. *Arch Med Sci*. 2011 Dec 31;7(6):1017-1022.
140. Santos-Aragón LN, Barragán-Patracá DL, Soto-Trujillo DO, Téliz-Meneses MA. Elastografía cuantitativa en el nódulo mamario sospechoso para malignidad. *Anales de Radiología México*. 2019;18:68-75.
141. Schäfer FK, Hooley RJ, Ohlinger R, et al. ShearWave Elastography BE1 Multinational Breast Study: Additional SWE™ Features Support Potential to Downgrade BI-RADS®-3 Lesions. *Ultraschall Med*. 2013 Jun;34(3):254-9.
142. Sefidbakht S, Zarei F, Akrami M, et al. Normal breast tissue elasticity: is there a correlation with age? European Society of Radiology website. doi:10.1594/ecr2018/C-2565
143. Seo M, Choi HY, Sohn YM, et al. Shear wave elastography for the diagnosis of small (≤ 2 cm) breast lesion: added value and factors associated with false results. *Br J Radiol*. 2019 May;92(1097):20180341. doi:10.1259/bjr.20180341

144. Seo M, Sohn Y-M. Differentiation of benign and metastatic axillary lymph nodes in breast cancer: additive value of shear wave elastography to B-mode ultrasound. *Clin Imaging*. 2018 Jul-Aug;50:258-263.
145. Shang J, Ruan LT, Wang YY, et al. Utilizing size-based thresholds of stiffness gradient to reclassify BI-RADS category 3-4b lesions increases diagnostic performance. *Clin Radiol*. 2019 Apr;74(4):306-313.
146. Shi X-Q, Li J-L, Li Q-Y, Huang H, Wan W-B, Tang J. Performance of Ultrasonic Shear Wave Elastography in Assessing Benign and Malignant Breast Lesions. *Zhongguo Yi Xue Ke Xue Yuan Xue Bao*. 2015 Jun;37(3):294-249.
147. Shi X-Q, Li J, Qian L, Xue X, Li J, Wan W. Correlation between elastic parameters and collagen fibre features in breast lesions. *Clin Radiol*. 2018 Jun;73(6):595.e1-595.e7. doi:10.1016/j.crad.2018.01.019
148. Shin YJ, Kim SM, Yun B, Jang M, Kim B, Lee SH. Predictors of Invasive Breast Cancer in Patients With Ductal Carcinoma In Situ in Ultrasound-Guided Core Needle Biopsy. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2019 Feb;38(2):481-488.
149. Sim YT, Vinnicombe S, Whelehan P, Thomson K, Evans A. Value of shear-wave elastography in the diagnosis of symptomatic invasive lobular breast cancer. *Clin Radiol*. 2015 Jun;70(6):604-609.
150. Singla V, Prakash A, Prabhakar N, et al. Does shear wave elastography score over strain elastography in breast masses or vice versa? *Curr Probl Diagn Radiol*. <https://doi.org/10.1067/j.cpradiol.2019.02.013>. Published March 6, 2019. Accessed November 7, 2019.
151. Skerl K, Cochran S, Evans A. First step to facilitate long-term and multi-centre studies of shear wave elastography in solid breast lesions using a computer-assisted algorithm. *Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg*. 2017 Sep;12(9):1533-1542.
152. Skerl K, Vinnicombe S, Giannotti E, Thomson K, Evans A. Influence of region of interest size and ultrasound lesion size on the performance of 2D shear wave elastography (SWE) in solid breast masses. *Clin Radiol*. 2015 Dec;70(12):1421-1427.
153. Skerl K, Vinnicombe S, Thomson K, McLean D, Giannotti E, Evans A. Anisotropy of Solid Breast Lesions in 2D Shear Wave Elastography is an Indicator of Malignancy. *Acad Radiol*. 2016 Jan;23(1):53-61.
154. Sohn YM, Seo M. Breast lesions diagnosed by ultrasound-guided core needle biopsy: can shearwave elastography predict histologic upgrade after surgery or vacuum assisted excision? *Clin Imaging*. 2018 May-Jun;49(2018):150-155.
155. Son MJ, Kim S, Jung HK, Ko KH, Koh JE, Park AY. Can Ultrasonographic Vascular and Elastographic Features of Invasive Ductal Breast Carcinoma Predict Histologic Aggressiveness? *Acad Radiol*. 2019 Jul;S1076-6332(19)30,313-30,317.

156. Song EJ, Sohn YM, Seo M. Diagnostic performances of shear-wave elastography and B-mode ultrasound to differentiate benign and malignant breast lesions: the emphasis on the cutoff value of qualitative and quantitative parameters. *Clin Imaging*. 2018 Jul-Aug;50:302-307.
157. Song SE, Cho N, Han W. Post-clip placement MRI following second-look US-guided core biopsy for suspicious lesions identified on breast MRI. *Eur Radiol*. 2017 Dec;27(12):5196-5203.
158. Sun J-W, Wang X-L, Zhao Q, et al. Virtual touch tissue imaging and quantification (VTIQ) in the evaluation of breast lesions: the associated factors leading to misdiagnosis. *Eur J Radiol*. 2019 Jan;110:97-104.
159. Suvannarerg V, Chitchumnong P, Apiwat W, et al. Diagnostic performance of qualitative and quantitative shear wave elastography in differentiating malignant from benign breast masses, and association with the histological prognostic factors. *Quant Imaging Med Surg*. 2019 Mar;9(3):386-398.
160. Tanter M, Bercoff J, Athanasiou A, et al. Quantitative assessment of breast lesion viscoelasticity: initial clinical results using supersonic shear imaging. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. 2008 Sep;34(9):1373-86.
161. Tian J, Liu Q, Wang X, Xing P, Yang Z2, Wu C. Application of 3D and 2D quantitative shear wave elastography (SWE) to differentiate between benign and malignant breast masses. *Sci Rep*. 2017 Jan;7:41216. doi:10.1038/srep41216
162. Toprak N, Yokus A, Gündüz M, Akdeniz H. Histopathology and elastography discordance in evaluation of breast lesions with acoustic radiation force impulse elastography. *Pol J Radiol*. 2019 Apr;84:e224-e233.
163. Tourasse C, Coulon A, Dénier JF. Radio-histological correlations of subtle sonography images. *Diagn Interv Imaging*. 2014 Feb;95(2):181-195.
164. Tourasse C, Dénier JF, Awada A, Gratadour AC, Nessah-Bousquet K, Gay J. Elastography in the assessment of sentinel lymph nodes prior to dissection. *Eur J Radiol*. 2012 Nov;81(11):3154-3159.
165. Tozaki M, Fukuma E. Pattern classification of ShearWave™ Elastography images for differential diagnosis between benign and malignant solid breast masses. *Acta Radiol*. 2011 Dec 1;52(10):1069-1075.
166. Vinnicombe SJ, Whelehan P, Thomson K, et al. What are the characteristics of breast cancers misclassified as benign by quantitative ultrasound shear wave elastography? *Eur Radiol*. 2014 Apr;24(4):921-926.
167. Wang LC, Sullivan M, Du H, Feldman MI, Mendelson EB. US Appearance of Ductal Carcinoma in Situ. *Radiographics*. 2013 Jan-Feb;33(1):213-228.
168. Wang M, Yang Z, Liu C, et al. Differential diagnosis of breast category 3 and 4 nodules through BI-RADS classification in conjunction with shear wave elastography. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. 2017 Mar;43(3):601-606.

169. Wang Q, Li XL, He YP, et al. Three-dimensional shear wave elastography for differentiation of breast lesions: An initial study with quantitative analysis using three orthogonal planes. *Clin Hemorheol Microcirc.* 2019;71(3):311-324.
170. Wang ZL, Li Y, Wan WB, Li N, Tang J. Shear-Wave Elastography: Could it be Helpful for the Diagnosis of Non-Mass-Like Breast Lesions? *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2017 Jan;43(1):83-90.
171. Watanabe T, Kaoku S, Yamaguchi T, et al. Multicenter Prospective Study of Color Doppler Ultrasound for Breast Masses: Utility of Our Color Doppler Method. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2019 Jun;45(6):1367-1379.
172. Wu G-G, Zhou L-Q, Xu J-W, et al. Artificial intelligence in breast ultrasound. *World J Radiol.* 2019 Feb;11(2):19-26.
173. Wu J-Y, Zhao Z-Z, Zhang W-Y, et al. Computer-Aided Diagnosis of Solid Breast Lesions With Ultrasound: Factors Associated With False-negative and False-positive Results. *Ultrasound Med.* 2019 May. doi:10.1002/jum.15020
174. Xiao Y, Zeng J, Niu L, et al. Computer-aided diagnosis based on quantitative elastographic features with supersonic shear wave imaging. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2014 Feb;40(2):275-286.
175. Xiao Y, Zeng J, Qian M, Zheng R, Zheng H. Quantitative analysis of peri-tumor tissue elasticity based on shear-wave elastography for breast tumor classification. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2013 Jul;2013:1128-1131. doi:10.1109/EMBC.2013.6609704
176. Xue X, Li J, Wan W, Shi X, Zheng Y. Kindlin-2 could influence breast nodule elasticity and improve lymph node metastasis in invasive breast cancer. *Sci Rep.* 2017 Jul 28;7(1):6753. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-07075-1
177. Yang P, Peng Y, Zhao H, Luo H, Jin Y, He Y. Can continuous scans in orthogonal planes improve diagnostic performance of shear wave elastography for breast lesions? *Technol Health Care.* 2015;23(suppl 2):S293-S300.
178. Yang T, Niu J, Dang Y, et al. An innovative ultrasound strain elastographic method for the differential diagnosis of breast tumors. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2019 Jan;45(1):56-67.
179. Yoon JH, Jung HK, Lee JT, Ko KH. Shear-wave elastography in the diagnosis of solid breast masses: what leads to false-negative or false-positive results? *Eur Radiol.* 2013 Sep;23(9):2432-2440.
180. Youk JH, Gweon HM, Son EJ. Shear-wave elastography in breast ultrasonography: the state of the art. *Ultrasonography.* 2017 Oct;36(4):300-309.
181. Youk JH, Gweon HM, Son EJ, Kim JA, Jeong J. Shear-wave elastography of invasive breast cancer: correlation between quantitative mean elasticity value and immunohistochemical profile. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2013 Feb;138(1):119-126.

182. Youk JH, Gweon HM, Son EJ, Han KH, Kim JA. Diagnostic value of commercially available shear-wave elastography for breast cancers: integration into BI-RADS classification with subcategories of category 4. *Eur Radiol.* 2013 Oct;23(10):2695-2704.
183. Youk JH, Gweon HM, Son EJ, Chung J, Kim JA, Kim EK. Three-dimensional shear-wave elastography for differentiating benign and malignant breast lesions: comparison with two-dimensional shear-wave elastography. *Eur Radiol.* 2013 Jun;23(6):1519-1527.
184. Youk JH, Son EJ, Gweon HM, Han KH, Kim JA. Quantitative Lesion-to-Fat Elasticity Ratio Measured by Shear-Wave Elastography for Breast Mass: Which Area Should Be Selected as the Fat Reference? *PLoS One.* 2015 Sep 14;10(9):e0138074. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138074
185. Youk JH, Son EJ, Gweon HM, Kim H, Park YJ, Kim JA. Comparison of strain and shear wave elastography for the differentiation of benign from malignant breast lesions, combined with B-mode ultrasonography: qualitative and quantitative assessments. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2014 Oct;40(10):2336-2344.
186. Youk JH, Son EJ, Han K, Gweon HM, Kim J-A. Performance of shear-wave elastography for breast masses using different region-of-interest (ROI) settings. *Acta Radiol.* 2018 Jul;59(7):789-797.
187. Youk JH, Son EJ, Kim JA, Gweon HM. Pre-operative evaluation of axillary lymph node status in patients with suspected breast cancer using shear wave elastography. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2017 Aug;43(8):1581-1586.
188. Youk JH, Son EJ, Park AY, Kim JA. Shear-wave elastography for breast masses: local shear wave speed (m/sec) versus Young modulus (kPa). *Ultrasonography.* 2014 Jan;33(1):34-39.
189. Yu Y, Xiao Y, Cheng J, Chiu B. Breast lesion classification based on supersonic shear-wave elastography and automated lesion segmentation from B-mode ultrasound images. *Comput Biol Med.* 2018 Feb;93:31-46.
190. Yue JL, Tardieu M, Julea F, et al. Comparison between 3D Supersonic Shear Wave Elastography and Magnetic Resonance Elastography: a preliminary experimental study. *Congrès sous l'égide de la Société Française de Génie Biologique et Médical (SFGBM).* https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280891531_Comparison_between_3D_Supersonic_Shear_Wave_Elastography_and_Magnetic_Resonance_Elastography_a_preliminary_experimental_study. Published March 2015. Accessed November 8, 2019.
191. Zhang Q, Song S, Xiao Y, Chen S, Shi J, Zheng H. Dual-modal artificially intelligent diagnosis of breast tumors on both shear-wave elastography and B-mode ultrasound using deep polynomial networks. *Med Eng Phys.* 2019 Feb;64:1-6.
192. Zhang Q, Xiao Y, Dai W, et al. Deep learning based classification of breast tumors with shear-wave elastography. *Ultrasonics.* 2016 Dec;72:150-157.
193. Zhang L, Xu J, Wu H, et al. Screening breast lesions using shear modulus and its 1-mm shell in sound touch elastography. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2019 Mar;45(3):710-719.

194. Zhao X-B, Yao J-Y, Zhou XC, et al. Strain Elastography: A Valuable Additional Method to BI-RADS? *Ultraschall Med.* 2018 Oct;39(5):526-534.
195. Zheng X, Huang Y, Wang Y, et al. Combination of different types of elastography in downgrading ultrasound Breast Imaging-Reporting and Data System category 4a breast lesions. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2019 Apr;174(2):423-432.
196. Zhou Y, Xu J, Liu Q, et al. A Radiomics Approach with CNN for Shear-wave Elastography Breast Tumor Classification. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2018 Sep;65(9):1935-1942.
197. Zhou J, Zhan W, Chang C, et al. Breast Lesions: Evaluation with Shear Wave Elastography, with Special Emphasis on the “Stiff Rim” Sign. *Radiology.* 2014 Jul;272(1):63-72.

Hologic Proprietary Information

MISC-06500 Rev.001 (2/20) Hologic Inc. All rights reserved. Hologic, SuperSonic, SuperSonic Aixplorer MACH, ShearWave, TriVu, SonicPad, and UltraFast, are trademarks and/or registered trademarks of Hologic, Inc., and or its subsidiaries in the United States and other countries. SuperSonic MACH 30 system was previously known as Aixplorer MACH 30 system. This information is intended for medical professionals in the U.S. and other markets and it not intended as a product solicitation or promotion where such activities are prohibited. Because Hologic materials are distributed through websites, eBroadcasts and tradeshow, it is not always possible to control where such materials appear. For specific information on what products are available for sale in a particular country, please contact your local Hologic representative or write to womenshealth@Hologic.com. This is a general information tool for medical professionals and is not a complete representation of the product(s)' Instruction for Use (IFU) or Package Insert, and it is the medical professionals' responsibility to read and follow the IFU or Package Insert. The information provided may suggest a particular technique or protocol however it is the sole responsibility of the medical professional to determine which technique or protocol is appropriate. At all times, clinicians remain responsible for utilizing sound patient evaluation and selection practices, and for complying with applicable local, state, and federal rules and regulations regarding accreditation, anesthesia, reimbursement, and all other aspects of in-office procedures. In no event shall Hologic be liable for damages of any kind resulting from your use of the information presented. All other trademarks, registered trademarks, and product names are the property of their respective owners. Views and opinions expressed herein by third parties are theirs alone and do not necessarily reflect those of Hologic. Indications for Use: The SuperSonic Imagine Aixplorer MACH® range ultrasound diagnostic systems and transducers, are intended for general purpose pulse echo ultrasound imaging, soft tissue elasticity imaging and Doppler fluid flow analysis of the human body. The Aixplorer MACH® ultrasound diagnostic systems are indicated for use in the following applications, for imaging and measurement of anatomical structures: Abdominal, Small Organs, Musculoskeletal, Superficial Musculoskeletal, Vascular, Peripheral Vascular, Intraoperative, OB-GYN, Pelvic, Pediatric, Transrectal, Transvaginal, Urology, Neonatal/Adult Cephalic and Non-invasive Cardiac. It is intended for use by licensed personnel qualified to direct the use of the medical ultrasound devices. CE certificate no. 26415, FDA cleared K180572. Contact Hologic at 1.800.442.9892 or visit www.hologic.com.