HOLOGIC <sup>®</sup>	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography  Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
John Lewin - Magnetic Resonan *Key Point: Compared to	inhanced Mammography and Contrast-Enhanced Breast MR Imaging ce Imaging Clinics of North America, Vol 26, Issue 2, May 2018, Pages 259-263 breast MR, contrast-enhanced mammography (CEM) has similar CEM has advantages in terms of cost, patient acceptability, and	May-18		х					
ultrasound (3DUS) on the Helal MH, Salem DS, Salaleldin I Br J Radiol. 2018 Apr 11:201709 *Key Point: This prospect	ive study found the use of CESM increased the accuracy of size	Apr-18	X						GE
The added value of contrast enhanced spectral mammography (CESM) in identification of multiplicity of suspicious lesions in dense breast  Amr Farouk Ibrahim Moustafa, Eman Faker Kamal, Maher M. Hassan, Mona Sakr, Mohammed Mohammed Gomaa  The Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Available online 2 April 2018  *Key Point: CESM demonstrated an added value in the preoperative assessment of breast masses increasing the accuracy of detection of lesions and multiplicity (multifocality and multicentricity).		Apr-18							
Into a Breast Imaging Pra Phillips J, Steinkeler J, Talati K, B J Am Coll Radiol. 2018 Mar 30. p *KeyPoint: Assesed and o preparation to examination	for Incorporation of Contrast-Enhanced Spectral Mammography ctice rook A, Dialani V, Fishman M, Slanetz PJ, Mehta TS sii: S1546-1440(18)30205-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2018.02.012 compared the workflow of CESM, DM,MRI and CT from pateient on to post examination. The author outlined timing challenges if done potential ways to work in parallel to minimize effect on workflow.	Mar-18		Х					
Residual Breast Cancer For Patel BK, Hilal T, Covington M, Z Ann Surg Oncol. 2018 May;25(5	ral Mammography is Comparable to MRI in the Assessment of ollowing Neoadjuvant Systemic Therapy hang N, Kosiorek HE, Lobbes M, Northfelt DW, Pockaj BA. ):1350-1356. doi: 10.1245/s10434-018-6413-x. Epub 2018 Mar 7. npletion of NST, CESM was comparable to MRI in assessing residual	Mar-18		Х					Н

HOLOGIC*	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography  Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
spectral mammography Deng CY, Juan YH, Cheung YC, L Br J Radiol. 2018 Feb 27:201706 *Key Point: For assessing	in YC, Lo YF, Lin G, Chen SC, Ng SH 505. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20170605 breast lesions, the quantities of enhancement were informative in ad stronger enhancement and more relative depressed enhancement	Feb-18						Х	
AJR:210, February 2018  *Key Point: Contrast Enh fast contrast imaging with	anced Mammography (CEM), when compared to breast MRI, permits lower costs, imaging and interpretation times.	Feb-18		х	х		х		н
Patela BK, Ranjbarb S, Teresa V European Journal of Radiology *Key Point: The feasibilit number of false-positive f	y study proposes that the use of CAD with CESM will help reduce the indings.	Dec-17	Х					Х	н
elastography in the evalu Ayman M.A.M. Nada, Rashad F The Egyptian Journal of Radiolo	lamdi, Ahmed Shokry gy and Nuclear Medicine, Volume 48, Issue 4, December 2017, Pages 1179-1186 of 32 patients, DECE demonstrated a considerable increase in the	Dec-17							GE
pilot study Jochelson MS, Pinker K, Dershar Moskowitz CS, Morris EA, Sung *Key Point: Because CED that are not seen on conv	CEDM and MRI for women at increased risk for breast cancer: A  W DD, Hughes M, Gibbons GF, Rahbar K, Robson ME, Mangino DA, Goldman D,  JS. Eur J Radiol. 2017 Dec;97:37-43. Epub 2017 Oct 7.  M is able to detect additional cancers, especially invasive cancers, entional mammography, the procedure would be valuable for women o MRI or meet the criteria for MRI.	Dec-17	Х	х				Х	GE

HOLOGIC <sup>*</sup>	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography  Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
detected architectural dis Patel BK, Naylor ME, Kosiorek H Clinical Imaging - Volume 46, No *Key Point: This article po architectural distortion, the	enhanced spectral mammography as an adjunct for tomosynthesistortion  E, Lopez-Alvarez YM, Miller AM, Pizzitola VJ, Pockaj BA ovember—December 2017, Pages 44-52 rovdies a retrospective review: In cases of tomosynthesis-detected he high sensitivity of CESM is promising as an adjunct tool for malignancies and avoiding needless biopsies.	Dec-17	х			Х		Х	н
field digital mammograph Bhavika K. Patel, Sandra Alheli G Clinical Imaging, Volume 46, No *Key Point: This study fou	n contrast-enhanced spectral mammography (CEDM) versus full- ny and ultrasound Garza, Sarah Eversman, Yania Lopez-Alvarez, Heidi Kosiorek, Barbara A. Pockaj evember–December 2017, Pages 78-84 and that the addition of CEDM, as a supplement to FFDM for eas beneficial for patients with dense breasts.	Nov-17				х			н
cancer screening has low I.P.L. Houben, P. Van de Voorde European Journal of Radiology, *Key Point: The authors r	ral mammography as work-up tool in patients recalled from breast risks and might hold clinical benefits , C.R.L.P.N. Jeukens, J.E. Wildberger, L.F. Kooreman, M.L. Smidt, M.B.I. Lobbes Volume 94, September 2017, Pages 31-37 recommend using CESM as a work-up tool for women recalled from es low risk for the patient, while additionally detecting tumour foci cal implications.	Sep-17				Х		х	
comparison with breast n Lotti V, Ravaioli S, Vacondio R, C G,Falco G, Ferrari G, Braglia L, D Breast Cancer Res. 2017; 19: 10 *Key Point: CESM is at lea	ral mammography in neoadjuvant chemotherapy monitoring: a nagnetic resonance imaging foriani C, Caffarri S, Sghedoni R, Nitrosi A, Ragazzi M, Gasparini E, Masini C, Bisagni el Prato A, Malavolti I, Ginocchi V, Pattacini P 6. Published online 2017 Sep 11. ast as reliable as MRI in assessing the response to neoadjuvant Lesion size mesaurements were highly correlated between CESM &	Sep-17		Х		Х			GE
represent a new breast ca S.L. Savaridas, D.B. Taylor, D. Gu	ncement on contrast-enhanced spectral mammography (CESM) ancer risk factor? Correlation with known radiology risk factors anawardana, M. Phillips - Clinical Radiology Available online 1 September 2017 are than CEMRI for inter-reader reliability in grading breast at.	Sep-17		х				Х	GE

HOLOGIC <sup>*</sup>	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography  Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Bhavika K. Patel, M.B.I. Lobbes, *Key Point: Contrast Enh	ral Mammography: A Review  John Lewin - Seminars in Ultrasound, CT and MRI Avail. online 24 August 2017  anced Spectral Mammography (CESM) is being adopted for several  viously earmarked for MRI.	Aug-17		х				Х	н
Assessing tumor extent of mammography and ultra Patel BK, Garza SA, Eversman S, 10.1016/j.clinimag.2017.07.001	n contrast-enhanced spectral mammography versus full-field digital sound.  Lopez-Alvarez Y, Kosiorek H, Pockaj BA - Clinical Imaging. 2017 Jul 12;46:78-84. doi:	Jul-17	Х			Х			н
detected architectural dis Patel BK, Naylor ME, Kosiorek F 12;46:44-52. doi: 10.1016/j.clin *Key Point: Retrospective High sensitivity and NPV of	E, Lopez-Alvarez YM, Miller AM, Pizzitola VJ, Pockaj BA - Clin Imaging. 2017 Jul	Jul-17	х					Х	Н
Indeterminate breast lesi decisions? Samira Saraya, Lamia Adel, Asm Issue 2, June 2017, Pages 547-5 *Key Point: By assessing indeterminate lesions (BII	ons: Can contrast enhanced digital mammography change our  laa Mahmoud - The Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine Volume 48, 52 the efficiency of contrast enhanced digital mammography (CEDM) for RADS 3 and 4) proved to be a useful tool for breast cancer detection.	Jun-17				Х		Х	GE
locally advanced breast on Noha Abd ElShafy ElSaid, Hebat The Egyptian Journal of Radiolo	d spectral mammography in predicting pathological response of ancer post neo-adjuvant chemotherapy allah G.M. Mahmoud, Asmaa Salama, Marwa Nabil, Eman D. ElDesouky gy and Nuclear Medicine Volume 48, Issue 2, June 2017, Pages 519-527 a clinical method for assessment of the pathological response post I planning.	Jun-17				x		x	GE

HOLOGIC <sup>*</sup>	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography  Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Patel BK, Gray RJ, Pockaj BA - A.  *Key Point: This study loo into the cost implications purchase, equipment, sta	Contrast-Enhanced Digital Mammography.  R Am J Roentgology. 2017 Apr:1-7. doi: 10.2214/AJR.16.17239 (Epub ahead of print) oks at both the efficacy of CEDM compared to BMRI, but also goes for both patient and institution. The author considers capital ffing and other costs related to both types of exams. The author to the healthcare system of \$1.1 billion.	Jun-17		Х	X		X	х	Н
physician needs to know? Helal MH, Mansour SM, Zaglol I doi: 10.1259/bjr.20160717. Epu *Key Point: This prospec	M, Salaleldin LA, Nada OM, Haggag MA - Br J Radiol. 2017 Mar;90(1071):20160717.	Mar-17	Х	х					GE
Contrast-enhanced spectral mammography (CESM) versus MRI in the high-risk screening setting: patient preferences and attitudes.  Phillips J, Miller MM, Mehta TS, Fein-Zachary V, Nathanson A, Hori W, Monahan-Earley R, Slanetz PJ - Clin Imaging. 2017 Mar - Apr;42:193-197. doi: 10.1016/j.clinimag.2016.12.011.  *Key Point: The prospective study, with high risk patients, reviewed their exams of BMRI and CESM. Seventy-nine percent (79%) of the patients preferred CESM over MRI and 89% would be agreeable to having CESM as an annual screening.		Mar-17	X	Х					GE
(MRI): A retrospective co Li L, Roth R, Germaine P, Ren S, doi: 10.1016/j.diii.2016.08.013. *Key Point: CESM, compa similar sensitivity in detec	ral mammography (CESM) vs. breast magnetic resonance imaging mparison in 66 breast lesions.  Lee M, Hunter K, Tinney E, Liao L Diagn Interv Imaging. 2017 Feb;98(2):113-123. Epub 2016 Sep 26 ared to BMRI, has a higher PPV, less background enhancement, and ting breast cancer. With its shorter exam time and more accessible potential to be an important tool in detection and staging of breast	Feb-17	X	Х				Х	GE

HOLOGIC <sup>*</sup>	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography  Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Mammography James JR, Pavlicek W, Hanson JA 10.2214/AJR.16.16743 *Key Point: Compared th FFDM and 3D Tomosynthe	th CESM Compared With 2D FFDM and 3D Tomosynthesis  A, Boltz TF, Patel BK - AJR Am J Roentgology. 2017 Feb;208(2):362-372. doi:  e radiation dose with 3 differnent methods of mammography (CEDM, esis). The author concluded that while CEDM increased the mGY n thicknes of 63mm it stayed well below the 3.0mGY limit set MQSA	Feb-17			х				Н
Bhimani C, Matta D, Roth RG, Li 10.1016/j.acra.2016.08.019. Ep	t to understand the technique of CESM and practical applications	Jan-17	Х			х	Х		GE
program: validation of re- Lalji UC, Houben IP, Prevos R, G Mok W, Nelemans P, Smidt ML, Epub 2016 Apr 20. *Key Point: CESM is cons	ral mammography in recalls from the Dutch breast cancer screening sults in a large multireader, multicase study.  ommers S, van Goethem M, Vanwetswinkel S, Pijnappel R, Steeman R, Frotscher C, Beets-Tan RG, Wildberger JE, Lobbes MB Eur Radiol. 2016 Dec;26(12):4371-4379.  istently superior to conventional mammography. CESM increases dless of a reader's experience. CESM is an excellent problem-solving ing programs.	Dec-16	Х			X	X	Х	GE
in a multi-reader evaluati Fallenberg EM, Schmitzberger F DM, Bick U, Hamm B, Dromain (	F, Amer H, Ingold-Heppner B, Balleyguier C, Diekmann F, Engelken F, Mann RM, Renz	Nov-16	Х	Х		Х		Х	GE
cancer diagnosis. Tsigginou A, Gkali C2, Chalazoni Nov;89(1067):20160397. Epub 2 *Key Point: Malignancy p mammography or CESM a BIRADS score and the pro	tis A, Feida E, Vlachos DE, Zagouri F, Rellias I, Dimitrakakis C Br J Radiol. 2016 2016 Aug 9. Totential score (MPS) has higher diagnostic performance than digital lone. MPS empowers the credibility of the digital mammography posed type of enhancement in dual-energy CESM and is a diagnostic curacy rate in early breast cancer diagnosis.	Nov-16	Х					Х	GE

HOLOGIC* CCE2D/CEDM) Selected	anced Mammography Bibliography Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Contrast-enhanced spectral mammography improves ting.  Tennant SL, James JJ, Cornford EJ, Chen Y, Burrell HC, Hamilton L. Nov;71(11):1148-55. doi: 10.1016/j.crad.2016.05.009. Epub 201: *Key Point: CESM provides immediately available, copatients with suspicious palpable abnormalities. Rad accuracy for breast cancer detection and staging are mammographic investigation.	LJ, Girio-Fragkoulakis C Clin Radiol. 2016 6 Jun 11. clinically useful information in the clinic for liologist sensitivity, specificity, and size	Nov-16	Х			Х		Х	GE
Degree of Enhancement on Contrast Enhanced Spectage on Mammography (MG): Comparison Based of Euczyńska E, Niemiec J, Hendrick E, Heinze S1 Jaszczyński J, Jakul 2016 Oct 21;22:3886-3893.  *Key Point: Strong or medium enhancement on CES microcalcifications on MG were strong indicators of combination of MG and CESM characteristics helpful found.	bn Histological Results. bowicz J, Sas-Korczyńska B, Rys J Med Sci Monit.  SM and mass or mass with malignant transformation. However, no	Oct-16	х					Х	GE
Contrast-enhanced Digital Mammography: A Single Cases.  Lewis TC, Pizzitola VJ, Giurescu ME, Eversman WG, Lorans R, Rot 10.1111/tbj.12681  *Key Point: Given its success in recent studies and t diagnostic adjunct, CEDM can potentially improve br low-cost conclusions of screening mammography wiresonance imaging.	che experience of CEDM primarily as a reast cancer detection by combining the	Oct-16	X	Х		х	X		Н
Is contrast-enhanced spectral mammography (CESN mastopathy from breast carcinoma?  Travieso Aja MD, Santana López G, Rodríguez Rodríguez M, Luza Oct;60(5):639-641. doi: 10.1111/1754-9485.12446. Epub 2016 N *Key Point: Diabetic mastopathy, a rare benign infladiscussed in CESM studies. This disease often displaultrasound, mammography and breast MRI.	ordo OP J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol. 2016 Mar 30. Dammatory disease, is evaluated and	Oct-16	X			х		Х	

HOLOGIC <sup>*</sup>	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography  Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Ali-Mucheru M, Pockaj B, Patel Oncology, Published online Sep *Key Point: The authors of	Il Mammography in the Surgical Management of Breast Cancer B, Pizzitola V, Wasif N, Stucky CC, Gray R MAYO Clinic, Phoenix, AZ - Annals of Surgical tember 15, 2016, DOI 10.1245/s10434-016-5567-7 concluded that utilizing CEDM is low cost, relatively easy alternative to and maintains the high sensitivity of bMRI.	Sep-16		х			х		GE
(MRI): A retrospective co Li L, Roth R, Germaine P, Ren S, 5684(16)30212-1. doi: 10.1016 Key Point: CESM has sim and less background enha	ilar sensitivity than BMRI in breast cancer detection, with higher PPV ancement. CESM is associate with significantly shorter exam time thus tive to BMRI, it has potential to play an important role in breast	Sep-16	Х	X		Х		X	Н
Non-Mass Microcalcificate Cheung YC, Juan YH, Lin YC, Lot 10.1371/journal.pone.0162740 *Key Point: Dual-energy added value in assessing to favorable to the diagnosis	nanced Spectral Mammography: Enhancement Analysis on BI-RADS 4 cions in Screened Women.  (F, Tsai HP, Ueng SH, Chen SC PLoS One. 2016 Sep 9;11(9):e0162740. doi: . eCollection 2016.  contrast-enhanced spectral mammography (DE-CESM) might provide the non-mass screened breast microcalcification, with enhancement stof cancers or lack of enhancement virtually diagnostic for non-massive subgroup cancers.	Sep-16	х			х		х	GE
Comparison of the Mami Ultrasonography in a Gro Łuczyńska E, Niemiec J, Hendric 2016 Aug; 36 (8):4359-66. *Key Point: CESM permit individually. CESM found false-positive diagnoses s	mography, Contrast-Enhanced Spectral Mammography and oup of 116 patients.  k E, Heinze S1 Jaszczyński J, Jakubowicz J, Sas-Korczyńska B, Rys J - Anticancer Res.  ted better detection of malignant lesions than both MG and US read lesion enhancement in some benign lesions as well, yielding a rate of imilar to that of MG and US.	Aug-16	Х			Х		Х	GE
and meta-analysis. Tagliafico AS, Bignotti B, Rossi F Aug;28:13-9. doi: 10.1016/j.bre *Key Point: The source s	of contrast-enhanced spectral mammography: Systematic review  7, Signori A, Sormani MP, Valdora F, Calabrese M, Houssami N Breast. 2016 ast.2016.04.008. Epub 2016 May 7. tudies were based on highly selected case series and prone to by studies are required to assess the accuracy of CESM in unselected	Aug-16	Х					х	Mult

	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Mammography and Breast Sogani J, Morris EA, Kaplan JB, D' *Key Point: This study was enhancement (BPE) in CESN examines the relationships	Alessio D, Goldman D, Moskowitz CS, Jochelson MS Radiology. 2016 Jul 4:160284 conducted to assess the extent of background parenchymal W and bMRI. Inter-reader agreement in BPE assessment and between clinical factors and BPE.	Jul-16	Х	Х					GE
versus MRI for breast disea Wang Q, Li K, Wang L, Zhang J, Zh *Key Point: Demonstrates MRI in terms of diagnostics	stic performances of contrast-enhanced spectral mammography ases in China.  iou Z, Feng Y - Springerplus. 2016 Jun 17;5(1):763. doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-2385-0.  Is that CESM possesses better diagnostic performances than breast sensitivity and lesion size assessment. Also that CESM is a good ening breast cancer in high-risk people.	Jun-16	X	x		X			GE
Tardivel AM, Balleyguier C, Dunar 8. doi: 10.1111/tbj.12627. Epub 2 *Key Point: CESM can be p	nhanced Spectral Mammography in Postscreening Assessment.  Int A, Delaloge S, Mazouni C, Mathieu MC, Dromain C - Breast J. 2016 Sep;22(5):520-2016 Jun 27.  Derformed easily in a clinical assessment after positive breast cancer significantly the diagnostic and treatment strategy through breast	Jun-16	Х			Х	Х		GE
Yagil Y, Shalmon A, Rundstein A, 9 7. doi: 10.1016/j.crad.2016.01.01 *Key Point: Two main arte not hamper image interpre	anced spectral mammography interpretation: artefacts lexicon.  Servadio Y, Halshtok O, Gotlieb M, Sklair-Levy M Clin Radiol. 2016 May;71(5):450- 2. Epub 2016 Feb 18.  facts commonly seen on CESM are rim and ripple artefacts which do tation. It is important to be aware of them and prevent artefacts as real breast pathology.	May-16	Х						GE
the Potential of Dual-Energ Complex Breast Lesions. Travieso Aja MD, Munoz P, Rodrig 25;13(3):e32190.	d Co-Located Invasive Carcinoma and a Fibroadenoma to Illustrate gy, Contrast-Enhanced Digital Mammography on the Diagnosis of guez Rodriguez M, Vega Benitez V, Luzardo OP Iran J Radiol. 2016 Apr cly than bMRI, CESM may constitute a good alternative for improving se countries.	Apr-16	X	X			X	Х	GE

HOLOGIC°	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography  Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
microcalcifications witho Cheung YC, Tsai HP, Lo YF, Ueng 015-3904-z. Epub 2015 Jul 10. *Key Point: Dual-energy	ergy contrast-enhanced spectral mammography for breast ut associated mass: a preliminary analysis. g SH, Huang PC, Chen SC Eur Radiol. 2016 Apr;26(4):1082-9. doi: 10.1007/s00330- contrast-enhanced spectral mammography (DE-CESM) provides information for diagnosing suspicious breast microcalcifications.	Apr-16	Х			Х		х	GE
conventional full-field dig Mori M, Akashi-Tanaka S, Suzul *Key Point: These finding	ntrast-enhanced spectral mammography in comparison to gital mammography in a population of women with dense breasts. is S, Daniels MI, Watanabe C, Hirose M, Nakamura S Breast Cancer. 2016 Mar 4. is suggest that CESM offers superior clinical performance compared by decrease false negatives especially for women with dense breasts.	Mar-16	x			х			GE
tomosynthesis - Compari Chou CP, Lewin JM, Chiang CL, doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2015.09.01 *Key Point: CET and CED up women with abnorma	trast-enhanced digital mammography & contrast enhanced son to contrast-enhanced bMRI Hung BH, Yang TL, Huang JS, Liao JB, Pan HB. Eur J Radiol. 2015 Dec;84(12):2501-8.  9. M may be considered as an alternative modality to MRI for following mammography. All three contrast modalities were superior in digital mammography with or without tomosynthesis.	Dec-15	Х	Х					н
mammography-proof of K.S. Blum, G. Antoch, S. Mohrm	st-enhanced spectral mammography (CESM) as diagnostic concept ann, S. Obenauer - Radiography, Vol. 21, Issue 4, Nov. 2015, Pages 352-358 the concept of using low-energy CESM image as diagnostic	Nov-15	х		х				GE
Daniaux M, De Zordo T, Santne Marth C Arch Gynecol Obstet *Key Point: A review the	nanced spectral mammography (CESM).  W, Amort B, Koppelstätter F, Jaschke W, Dromain C, Oberaigner W, Hubalek M, 2015 Oct;292(4):739-47. doi: 10.1007/s00404-015-3693-2. Epub 2015 Mar 27.  current literature, present their experience, discuss the advantages and look at the future of this innovative technique.	Oct-15	Х						GE

HOLOGIC <sup>*</sup>	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography  Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
breast? Noha Abd ElShafy ElSaid, Samal The Egyptian Journal of Radiolo *Key Point: Dual-energy identification of lesions in	I mammography: Is it useful in detecting lesions in edematous  n Farouk, Ola Magdy Mohamed Shetat, Nagat Mansour Khalifa, Omnia Mokhtar Nada - gy and Nuclear Medicine, Volume 46, Issue 3, Sept 2015, Pages 811-819 contrast-enhanced digital mammography is a useful technique in mammographically dense edematous breasts and proved to be a sp of cases presenting by edema after conservative breast surgery and	Sep-15	Х				Х	Х	GE
descriptors on the diagno Kamal RM, Helal MH, Wessam 6, June 2015, Pages 1049-1055 *Key Point: The assessment	R, Mansour SM, Godda I, Alieldin N - European Journal of Radiology, Volume 84, Issue ent of the morphology and enhancement characteristics of breast s the performance of digital mammography in the differentiation	Jun-15	X			Х		Х	GE
Patient preferences and thouse MM, Taylor DB, Buzynsk 9485.12296. Epub 2015 Apr 21. *Key Point: Data suggest	i S, Peake RE J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol. 2015 Jun;59(3):300-5. doi: 10.1111/1754-	Jun-15	X	X		X		Х	GE
Łuczyńska E, Heinze-Paluchows 2015 May 12;21:1358-67. doi: 1 *Key Point: The results ir method which enables ac predictive value, and a fal	ndicate that CESM has the potential to be a valuable diagnostic curate detection of malignant breast lesions, has high negative se-positive rate similar to that of breast MRI.	May-15	Х	x		x		х	GE
benefit of additional breach Lobbes MB, Lalji UC, Nelemans 2015 Jan 5;6(2):144-50. doi: 10 *Key Point: Quality of tu these measurement assess	assessment by contrast-enhanced spectral mammography and the ast MRI.  PJ, Houben I, Smidt ML, Heuts E de Vries B, Wildberger JE, Beets-Tan RG J Cancer. 7150/jca.10705. eCollection 2015.  mor size measurement using CESM is good and matches the quality of seed by breast MRI. Additional measurements using breast MRI did f tumor size measurements.	Jan-15		X		Х			GE

HOLOGIC <sup>®</sup>	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography  Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Preliminary Results Blum K, Rubbert C, Mathys B, A November 2014, Pages 1363-13 *Key Point: CESM is accu	d Spectral Mammography for Intramammary Cancer Staging:  ntoch G, Mohrmann S, Obenauer S - Academic Radiology, Volume 21, Issue 11, 169  rate in size measurements of small breast tumors. CESM seems to be ation of breast tissue around microcalcifications.	Nov-14	х			Х			GE
*Key Point: Dual-energy technique in breast cance	z Rodríguez, S. Alayón Hernández, V. Vega Benítez, O.P. Luzardo - Radiología (English	Oct-14	Х			Х	X		GE
Mokhtar O, Mahmoud S - The E 2014, Pages 1043-1052 *Key Point: Initial clinical	results show that CEDM has better diagnostic accuracy than mammography + ultrasound especially in dense breast.	Sep-14	Х			х			GE
comparable to routine fu Francescone M, Jochelson M, D Radiology, Volume 83, Issue 8, A *Key Point: Low energy C	CEDM images are equivalent to standard FFDM despite the presence contrast. Low energy CEDM images may be used for interpretation in	Aug-14	х		х				GE
*Key Point: Compared to	Il Mammography Clinics of North America, Volume 52, Issue 3, May 2014, Pages 609-616 bMRI, contrast-enhanced dual-energy mammography uses the same ancement and has been demonstrated to be more sensitive than	May-14	X	х					GE
F.R. Barra, A.C. Ribeiro, O.D. Ma March 2014, Pages 351-352	nanced digital mammography: Examination protocol athieu, A.C. Rodrigues - Diagnostic and Interventional Imaging, Volume 95, Issue 3, and been utilizing CEDM since 2013 and have developed an	Mar-14	Х						n/a

HOLOGIC*	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography  Updated May 2018	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	psog	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Beyond Mammography: New Frontiers in Breast Cancer Screening  Drukteinis JS, Mooney BP, Flowers CI, Gatenby RA - The American Journal of Medicine, Volume 126, Issue 6, June 2013, Pages 472-479  *Key Point: The authors propose that optimal breast cancer screening will eventually require a personalized approach based on metrics of cancer risk with selective application of specific screening technologies best suited to the individual's age, risk, and breast density.		Jun-13	Х		Х	Х	X		
Initial Clinical Experience with Contrast-Enhanced Digital Breast Tomosynthesis  Chen SC, Carton AK, Albert M, Conant EF, Schnall MD, Maidment AD - Academic Radiology;14(2), February 2007, Pages 229-238  *Key Point: As an adjunct to digital mammography, CE-DBT may be a potential alternative tool for breast lesion morphologic and vascular characterization.		Feb-07	Х						GE
Contrast-Enhanced Digital Mammography  John Lewin - Seminars in Breast Disease, Volume 9, Issue 3, September 2006, Pages 105-110  *Key Point: Results from three pilot studies have been promising, with high sensitivity to cancer; however, much work remains before the technique becomes a viable clinical tool.		Sep-06	Х						н

MISC-02893 Rev. 006 (05/18) US/International © 2018 Hologic, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the USA. Printed in USA. Specifications are subject to change without prior notice. Hologic, 3D, 3D Mammography, C-View, Selenia, Dimensions, and associated logos are trademarks and/or registered trademarks of Hologic and/or Hologic and registered trademarks are the property of their respective owners. This information is intended for medical professionals in the U.S. and other markets and is not intended as a product solicitation or promotion where such activities are prohibited. Because Hologic materials are distributed through websites, eBroadcasts and tradeshows, it is not always possible to control where such materialsappear. For specific information on what products are available for sale in a particular country, please contact your local Hologic representative or write to womenshealth@hologic.com